

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
8.1 Introduction	
8.1.7	<p><u>Objection</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Concern that additional capacity for secondary education will be provided in Bishop's Stortford and not Sawbridgeworth. This will cause further car journeys at peak time. 2. Pre-school requirements are not mentioned at all. 3. Leventhorpe School is seeking to expand secondary education provision and could potentially provide 1FE or 2FE of secondary provision on site. 4. No mention of demand for school places from adjacent settlements such as Lower Sheering. 5. Further primary provision may be required beyond expansion of Mandeville School to 2FE. 6. Expanding Mandeville is not appropriate given traffic concerns. 7. 1.2 hectares may be insufficient to expand Mandeville School and provide playing fields.
8.1.8	<p><u>Objection</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. M11, Junction 7a will increase traffic congestion in the town. 2. Development will cause an increase in congestion on West Road which will also lead to pedestrian safety issues. 3. Traffic lights at the West Road/A1184 junction will exacerbate congestion and air pollution issues. 4. The need for a bypass has not been considered. 5. Congestion on the A1184 will cause rat-running on side roads which is a safety risk, particularly for children. 6. Need for additional parking provision. 7. Lack of rail capacity. 8. Concern over air pollution, particularly in relation to the Air Quality Management Area.

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
	<p>9. Pedestrian and cycle routes should be improved across the town, not just at new developments.</p> <p>10. Additional bus services are required.</p> <p>11. More than 500 homes could be delivered in the town as a result of the upgrades to M11 junctions.</p>
8.1.10	<p><u>Objection</u></p> <p>1. Concern that the village of Spellbrook is not identified as part of Sawbridgeworth.</p>
8.2 Development in Sawbridgeworth	
SAWB1	<p><u>Support</u></p> <p>1. Support for development in Sawbridgeworth subject to delivery of supporting infrastructure.</p> <p><u>Objection</u></p> <p>1. Concern over infrastructure, particularly given that few schemes are costed and funded in the IDP.</p> <p>2. The impact of large scale development at Gilston and Bishop's Stortford has not been considered in this chapter.</p> <p>3. There is a shortage of G.P capacity in the town.</p> <p>4. A number of sites have been put forward as being more suitable than the proposed allocations.</p> <p>5. All three developments will need to make financial contributions to the expansion of Mandeville Primary School.</p> <p>6. The Sustainability Appraisal does not compare the sustainability of alternative sites with proposed allocations.</p> <p>7. Concern regarding utilities capacity including water and electricity.</p> <p>8. Exceptional Circumstances required to justify Green Belt release have not been demonstrated.</p>

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
	<p>Each of the site specific policies should include a criterion requiring investigation for 'opportunistic' mineral extraction.</p> <p><u>Other Comments and Observations</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The need to expand the town by 500 homes is accepted and the proposed locations are considered to be acceptable. 2. The wastewater network capacity in the area may not be able to support the proposed developments and upgrades may be required. Detailed drainage strategies should be prepared at the planning application stage.
SAWB2	<p><u>Objection</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Only 0.9 hectares is required to expand the school and therefore more housing could be provided on site. 2. The policy should say that delivery of utilities and infrastructure will be proportionate to each development. 3. The policy should include reference to sustainable transport as SAWB3 and SAWB4 do. 4. Expansion of the school will require loss of playing field. 5. Density of development will harm local character.
SAWB3	<p><u>Objection</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The site is capable of delivering 300 homes. 2. The open space designation on the west of the site is not necessary and should be used for housing.
SAWB4	<p><u>Support</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support for site. <p><u>Objection</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Policy wording should include the need to consider access arrangements and improvements to West Road/A1184 junction as with SAWB2 and SAWB3.

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The site is important in Green Belt terms given its function in preventing coalescence between Sawbridgeworth and Spellbrook 3. The suite has not been fully justified and may not be deliverable.
8.3 Employment in Sawbridgeworth	
8.3	<u>Objection</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There isn't enough information with regards to employment in the chapter.
8.4 Retail in Sawbridgeworth	
8.4	<u>Objection</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The retail strategy for Sawbridgeworth is inadequate.
8.5 Leisure and Community Facilities	
SAWB5	<u>Objection</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support for allocation. 2. Deliverability of proposal is questionable. 3. The boundary of this site requires amendment as Leventhorpe School have acquired the piece of land to the north east of its current site to assist with expansion. This land also needs to be excluded from the Green Belt.